УДК: 004.8:070

Ли Цзиньсинь

Магистр

Казахский национальный университет им. Аль-Фараби

Алма-Ата, Казахстан

Научный руководитель: Анна Александровна Данченко

Старший преподаватель

ЭТИЧЕСКИЕ И ПРОФЕССИОНАЛЬНЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ СПОРТИВНОЙ ЖУРНАЛИСТИКИ С ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕМ ИСКУССТВЕННОГО ИНТЕЛЛЕКТА В ЦИФРОВОЙ ЭКОСИСТЕМЕ КИТАЯ

Аннотация: В статье исследуются этические и профессиональные вызовы, возникающие в связи с интеграцией искусственного интеллекта в спортивную журналистику в условиях китайской цифровой экосистемы. Анализируется уникальное взаимодействие между государственно-платформенной моделью цифровой среды, специфическими требованиями спортивного репортажа и операционной логикой ИИ-технологий. Выявляются ключевые проблемы, включая размывание профессиональной идентичности журналистов, влияние алгоритмических предубеждений на формирование нарративов и непрозрачность автоматизированного производства новостей. Методологически исследование опирается на концептуальный анализ и синтез теорий из области медиаисследований, этики журналистики и науки и технологий (STS). Научная новизна работы заключается в системном теоретическом осмыслении данной проблематики в не-западном, государственно-капиталистическом контексте. В качестве решения предлагается нормативная модель, основанная на трех принципах: обязательное участие человека в ключевых стадиях производства контента, обеспечение прозрачности и аудируемости алгоритмов и переосмысление мандата служения общественным интересам в эпоху ИИ.

Ключевые слова: Искусственный Интеллект, Спортивная Журналистика, Медиаэтика, Профессиональная Идентичность, Алгоритмическое Управление,

Китайская Цифровая Экосистема.

Jinxin Li

Master

Al-Farabi Kazakh National University

Almaty, Kazakhstan

Supervisor: Anna Alexandrovna Danchenko

Senior Lecturer

ETHICAL AND PROFESSIONAL CHALLENGES OF AI-BASED SPORTS JOURNALISM IN CHINA'S DIGITAL ECOSYSTEM

Abstract: This article explores the ethical and professional challenges arising from the integration of artificial intelligence into sports journalism in the Chinese digital ecosystem. It analyzes the unique interplay between the state-platform model of the digital environment, the specific demands of sports reporting, and the operational logic of AI technologies. Key issues are identified, including the erosion of journalists' professional identities, the influence of algorithmic biases on narrative formation, and the opacity of automated news production. Methodologically, the study draws on a conceptual analysis and synthesis of theories from media studies, journalism ethics, and science and technology studies (STS). The scientific novelty of the work lies in its systematic theoretical understanding of this issue in a non-Western, state-capitalist context. As a solution, it proposes a normative model based on three principles: mandatory human participation in key stages of content production, ensuring the transparency and auditability of algorithms, and rethinking the mandate of serving the public interest in the AI era.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Sports Journalism, Media Ethics, Professional Identity, Algorithmic Management, Chinese Digital Ecosystem.

Introduction

The integration of artificial intelligence into China's journalistic practices represents a paradigm shift, particularly within the dynamic and high-velocity domain of sports reporting. This transformation is propelled by a state-sanctioned drive for technological supremacy and the commercial imperatives of a platform-centric digital

ecosystem. Outlets like Tencent Sports and Sina Sports are increasingly deploying natural language generation for automated match reports, data analytics for predictive storytelling, and algorithmic systems for content curation. This technological adoption promises unprecedented efficiency and scale in news production, aligning with the insatiable demand for instantaneous coverage in a hyper-competitive media market [1]. However, this very pursuit of operational optimization engenders a fundamental tension, giving rise to a complex matrix of ethical and professional dilemmas that remain inadequately theorized within the specific context of Chinese sports journalism.

The primary objective of this inquiry is to systematically identify and conceptualize this unique constellation of challenges. The scientific novelty of this research resides in its deliberate intersection of three distinct yet interconnected domains. First, it situates the analysis within China's distinctive socio-technical milieu, characterized by a synergistic interplay between state-guided internet governance, ambitious national AI strategies, and the powerful logic of platform capitalism. Second, it focuses intently on the constitutive norms of sports journalism its reliance on narrative drama, the cultivation of athletic personas, and the interpretive expertise required to translate statistical performance into compelling human stories. Third, it engages with foundational debates in AI ethics, re-contextualizing abstract principles like accountability and transparency within the practical realities of a rapidly automating newsroom.

Methodologically, this paper employs a conceptual and theoretical analysis to construct a robust analytical framework. It synthesizes critical perspectives from media studies, which interrogate the political economy of platforms; journalism ethics, which provides the normative bedrock for professional conduct; and science and technology studies (STS), which illuminates the co-constitutive relationship between societal values and technological artifacts. This theoretical triangulation allows for a dissection of the human-AI nexus that moves beyond mere technical description to probe the deeper implications for professional identity, epistemic authority, and the social contract of journalism in the digital age. The ensuing

analysis foregoes empirical case studies to instead build a conceptual foundation for understanding how algorithmic systems are reshaping the very ontology of sports news in China.The advent of artificial intelligence is instigating a profound restructuring of journalistic workflows globally, with China's digital ecosystem serving as a particularly fertile ground for its application. Within this context, the domain of sports journalism emerges as a critical site of technological experimentation and integration. Driven by a state-led push for digital modernization and the competitive pressures of a platform-dominated media market, leading Chinese sports media outlets are aggressively adopting natural language generation for automated match reports, leveraging data analytics for predictive insights, and employing algorithmic systems for content personalization and distribution. This technological infusion promises enhanced operational efficiency and an unparalleled speed of coverage, catering to the real-time demands of a massive digital audience. Yet, this relentless pursuit of automation simultaneously unveils a series of nascent and profound ethical and professional quandaries that challenge the foundational principles of the journalistic craft.

The core objective of this research is to systematically identify and theorize the unique constellation of challenges arising from the integration of AI into Chinese sports journalism. The scientific novelty of this investigation is anchored in its deliberate intersection of three analytical planes: the specific architecture of China's state-guided, platform-centric digital ecosystem; the intrinsic conventions and professional demands of sports journalism, which prioritize narrative construction, heroic portrayal, and interpretive expertise; and the foundational debates within the field of AI ethics concerning bias, accountability, and transparency. It is at this tripartite junction that the most significant and hitherto under-examined implications for journalistic practice and theory are located.

Conceptual Framework: Deconstructing the Human-AI Nexus in Journalism

A rigorous conceptual framework is essential for dissecting the complex interplay between artificial intelligence and journalistic practice. This framework is constructed upon three interdependent pillars: a functional typology of AI applications, a

contextual understanding of the operative digital ecosystem, and a reconstitution of core ethical principles for an algorithmic age. The first pillar delineates a triad of AI functionalities fundamentally reshaping sports news production. Automated Content Generation, primarily through Natural Language Generation, transmutes structured game data into narrative reports, operating at a scale and speed unattainable by human correspondents. This automation, however, exists in a symbiotic yet tense relationship with Algorithmic Curation and Distribution, the systems governing content visibility on dominant platforms like Tencent News and Douyin. These algorithms personalize user feeds based on engagement metrics, creating a powerful, often opaque, filter that determines which stories gain public traction [2]. Complementing these is Data-Driven News Discovery, where machine learning models sift through extensive performance datasets to identify latent patterns or predictive insights, potentially uncovering stories beyond the purview of human observation. This triad represents a comprehensive lifecycle from story discovery to automated creation and targeted dissemination.

The operationalization of this technological triad cannot be understood in a vacuum; it is embedded within the unique socio-technical fabric of China's digital ecosystem. This ecosystem functions as a dynamic interplay of powerful forces. Platform economics, dominated by corporate entities like Baidu, Alibaba, and Tencent, dictate the commercial logic and infrastructural pathways through which AI journalism is developed and monetized. Concurrently, state policy and regulatory frameworks, including national strategies for AI leadership and specific internet governance protocols, establish the boundaries of technological possibility, steering development towards aligned objectives. This institutional landscape is further animated by the specific media consumption habits of the Chinese public, whose preferences for mobile, video-centric, and socially-integrated content inform the design and deployment of these intelligent systems. The ecosystem is thus not a neutral container but an active, shaping force.

Within this configured environment, traditional journalistic ethics require critical re-contextualization. The principle of Objectivity is challenged by the inherent

potential for bias within training data and algorithmic design. Accountability becomes a more diffuse concept, necessitating the introduction of "algorithmic accountability" to address the responsibility gaps that emerge when decisions are automated. Transparency evolves from a matter of source disclosure to a demand for explainability in algorithmic processes, confronting the "black box" problem. The core tenet of Public Interest is recalibrated to question whether algorithmic optimization for engagement truly serves the citizenry or merely caters to commercialized attention. This reconceptualization introduces pivotal notions of "technological agency," acknowledging the formative role of non-human actors, and "distributed responsibility," which apportions ethical obligation across a network of developers, platform managers, journalists, and regulatory bodies. This framework provides the necessary theoretical apparatus for a systematic analysis of the ensuing professional and ethical challenges.

Algorithmic Bias and the Construction of Sports Narratives

The integration of artificial intelligence into sports journalism introduces a fundamental paradox: while promising data-driven objectivity, algorithmic systems inherently embed and amplify pre-existing societal and commercial biases, thereby actively constructing a skewed narrative reality. This computational mediation of sports reporting transcends mere technical imperfection, representing a profound epistemological shift in how sporting events are framed and understood. The architectural biases within AI systems manifest in several distinct yet interconnected forms within the Chinese context. Commercial bias arises from optimization for engagement metrics, systematically prioritizing coverage of elite Chinese Super League clubs and internationally marketed star athletes. This economic logic creates a feedback loop where visibility begets further attention, marginalizing smaller clubs and local tournaments[3]. A more nuanced nationalist bias is often woven into the algorithmic fabric, reflecting broader socio-political currents. This manifests not necessarily as explicit propaganda but as a consistent prioritization of narratives celebrating domestic athletic achievements and national prowess, subtly shaping a media environment where algorithmic content distribution aligns with patriotic

sentiment.

Furthermore, the very composition of training datasets introduces a foundational data bias. Historical underinvestment and lower viewership of women's sports and less mainstream athletic disciplines result in their severe under-representation in the corpora used to train natural language generation models. Consequently, these AI systems are structurally incapable of generating equitable or nuanced coverage for these sectors, perpetuating a cycle of invisibility. The convergence of these biases fosters a homogenization of narrative framing. AI models, optimized for predictable engagement, gravitate towards standardized story templates and proven thematic angles. This computational preference for the conventional systematically disadvantages critical, investigative, or unconventional interpretations of sports events, gradually eroding the diversity of perspectives essential to a vibrant journalistic field.

The critical challenge exacerbating these issues is the pervasive opacity of the black box phenomenon. When an AI system identifies an unexpected key player or suggests a particular story angle, the journalistic professional and the audience alike are denied access to the underlying reasoning. This lack of algorithmic explainability fundamentally undermines the core journalistic principle of transparency. The journalist is placed in the precarious position of validating and publishing insights generated by an inscrutable process, while the public consumes news whose origins and selective emphases remain obscured [4]. This black box does not merely conceal technical steps; it masks the value judgments and prioritizations embedded within the code, transferring narrative authority from human journalists, whose values and biases can be interrogated, to algorithmic systems whose logic remains proprietary and inaccessible. The construction of sports narratives thus becomes an exercise in curated data selection rather than informed human interpretation, raising critical questions about authenticity and accountability in automated journalism.

Navigational Strategies and a Proposed Normative Framework

Confronting the ethical complexities of AI integration necessitates moving beyond simplistic resistance toward a paradigm of proactive adaptation. The fundamental

task involves constructing a robust normative framework that transforms these technological systems from opaque threats into accountable tools, thereby preserving the core social functions of journalism within a reconfigured digital ecosystem. This endeavor requires a deliberate shift from identifying problems to proposing viable pathways for ethical governance and professional practice [5]. A tripartite framework for human-AI synergy offers a structured approach to this challenge, balancing technological capability with indispensable human judgment.

The first pillar of this framework is a principled Human-in-the-Loop Mandate. This principle asserts that certain journalistic functions must remain under human authority to safeguard depth and ethical integrity. While AI excels at data processing and pattern recognition, it lacks the capacity for nuanced contextualization, investigative rigor, and creative storytelling. The human role thus evolves toward roles of strategic oversight, interpreting algorithmic outputs within broader social and political contexts, exercising ethical judgment on sensitive narratives, and infusing reports with the narrative creativity and emotional resonance that define compelling sports journalism. This mandated collaboration ensures technology augments rather than replaces professional expertise.

A second critical pillar demands enhanced Algorithmic Transparency and Auditability. The opacity of AI systems constitutes a fundamental barrier to accountability. To address this, news organizations and platform companies must develop mechanisms for both internal and external scrutiny of their algorithms. This includes regular audits for embedded biases related to commercial interests, team representation, or nationalist narratives. Furthermore, a strict protocol for labeling AI-generated content is essential to maintain trust. Audiences possess a right to know the origin of their information, and such clear demarcation between human and machine-generated reporting fosters informed media literacy.

The third pillar involves a necessary Re-calibration of the Public Interest mandate. In the AI age, the concept of serving the public good expands beyond traditional reporting. It now encompasses an active role in educating audiences about the functioning and limitations of AI in journalism, consciously countering algorithmic

biases by amplifying underrepresented sports, and ensuring the preservation of diverse narrative frameworks. This re-imagined responsibility positions sports journalism as a crucial interface between complex technology and civic understanding.

The implementation of this tripartite framework imposes distinct obligations on key institutional actors. News organizations must develop new professional protocols and training programs. Platform companies bear responsibility for enabling algorithmic transparency. Regulatory bodies should establish standards for auditability and labeling. Academic institutions, particularly journalism schools, are tasked with redesigning curricula to equip future professionals with the critical literacy needed to navigate this hybrid media environment. Only through such coordinated institutional engagement can a sustainable ecosystem for responsible AI sports journalism be cultivated.

Conclusion

This examination has elucidated the profound ethical and professional challenges emerging from the integration of artificial intelligence into Chinese sports journalism. The analysis demonstrates that the unique interplay of China's state-platform digital ecosystem, the narrative-driven nature of sports reporting, and the operational logic of AI technologies generates a distinct set of tensions. These range from the erosion of journalistic authority and the homogenization of narratives due to algorithmic bias, to the fundamental opacity undermining accountability in automated news production. The core conflict resides in the juxtaposition of AI's efficiency gains against its potential to compromise the epistemic integrity and social responsibility of journalism.

The primary theoretical contribution of this work lies in its systematic mapping of these challenges within a non-Western, state-capitalist context. It provides a conceptual framework for understanding journalism's evolution in algorithmically mediated environments where market forces, technological development, and state policy are deeply intertwined. The proposed normative model, advocating for a human-in-the-loop mandate, algorithmic transparency, and a recalibrated public

interest, offers a foundational blueprint for navigating this transformation.

Future research should build upon this conceptual groundwork through several avenues. Comparative studies with other national contexts could reveal distinctive patterns of AI adoption and regulation. Longitudinal research is needed to track evolving audience perceptions and trust in AI-generated sports content over time. Furthermore, philosophical inquiry into the evolving nature of narrative authority and storytelling in human-AI collaborative systems represents a fertile ground for deepening our understanding of journalism's future in the algorithmic age.

References

1. Artificial intelligence in sport: A narrative review of applications, challenges and future trends / D. Zhou, J. W. L. Keogh, Y. Ma [и др.] // Journal of Sports Sciences. – 2025. – Pp. 1–16.

2. The satisfaction of ecological environment in sports public services by artificial intelligence and big data / K. Mu, Z. Wang, J. Tang [и др.] // Scientific Reports. – 2025. – Vol. 15, № 1. – Pp. 12748.

3. He N., Yan S., Jiang Z. [и др.] Artificial intelligence technology in sports application: The chinese experience // 2021 International Conference on Information Technology and Contemporary Sports (TCS). – IEEE, 2021. – Pp. 489–493.

4. de-Lima-Santos M. F., Ceron W. Artificial intelligence in news media: Current perceptions and future outlook // Journalism and media. – 2021. – Vol. 3, № 1. – Pp. 13–26.

5. Pandey A., Mishra A. Application of Artificial Intelligence in Sports Analytics: Analysing the Ethical and Legal Perspectives // Sports Analytics: Data-Driven Sports and Decision Intelligence. – Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024. – Pp. 163–184.